

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights>



www.sciencedirect.com
www.rbmonline.com



ERRATUM

Erratum to ‘‘A survey of 1700 women who formed their families using donor spermatozoa’’. [Reproductive BioMedicine Online 27 (2013) 436–447]



Neroli Sawyer ^a, Eric Blyth ^b, Wendy Kramer ^c, Lucy Frith ^{d,*}

^a School of Health Sciences, University of Ballarat, University Drive, Mount Helen, Ballarat, Victoria 3353, Australia;

^b University of Huddersfield, Queensgate, Huddersfield, West Yorkshire HD1 3D, UK; ^c Donor Sibling Registry, PO Box 1571, Nederland, CO 80466, USA; ^d Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, University of Liverpool, The Waterhouse Blds, Brownlow St., Liverpool L69 3GL, UK

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: neroliann@gmail.com (N Sawyer), e.d.blyth@hud.ac.uk (E Blyth), wendy@donorsiblingregistry.com (W Kramer), l.j.frith@liverpool.ac.uk, frith@liverpool.ac.uk (L Frith).

The publisher regrets that errors appeared in [Tables 1 and 4](#) of the above published article. The correct versions of these tables are given below.

The publisher would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.07.009>

Table 1 Age of respondents and counselling uptake.

| <i>Question BY relationship status when 1st conceived (n = 1,682)</i> | <i>Single 44.0% (n = 740)</i> | <i>Lesbian Couple 33.0% (n = 555)</i> | <i>Heterosexual Couple 23.0% (n = 387)</i> |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Respondents' age at time of survey | 714 responses | 542 responses | 380 responses |
| <i>Under 30 years</i> | 0.6% (4) | 2.2% (12) | 2.6% (10) |
| <i>30 to 39 years</i> | 22.3% (159) | 38.2% (207) | 29.5% (112) |
| <i>40 to 49 years</i> | 52.4% (374) | 48.0% (260) | 38.9% (148) |
| <i>50+ year</i> | 24.8% (177) | 11.6% (63) | 28.9% (110) |
| Age of 1st DI child at time of survey | 674 responses | 506 responses | 369 responses |
| <i>1 to 5 years</i> | 51.5% (347) | 46.2% (234) | 32.8% (121) |
| <i>6 to 15 years</i> | 37.8% (255) | 43.7% (221) | 36.0% (133) |
| <i>16 to 20 years</i> | 6.8% (46) | 7.9% (40) | 15.2% (56) |
| <i>21+ years</i> | 3.9% (26) | 2.2% (11) | 16.0% (59) |
| Had pre-insemination counselling: | 736 responses | 549 responses | 380 responses |
| <i>Never occurred to me</i> | 42.0% (309) | 57.2% (314) | 48.9% (186) |
| <i>Sought out personally</i> | 16.7% (123) | 10.0% (55) | 11.1% (42) |
| <i>Arranged by clinic - mandatory</i> | 24.9% (183) | 18.9% (104) | 23.9% (91) |
| <i>Partner did not</i> | N/A | 74.6% (410) | 63.7% (243) |
| Counselled to: | 252 responses | 136 responses | 140 responses |
| <i>Tell child 'genetics don't make a family'</i> | 25.8% (65) | 36.0% (49) | 39.3% (55) |

Table 4 Reporting of births to sperm bank.

| <i>Question BY relationship status when 1st conceived (n = 1,682)</i> | <i>Single 44.0% (740)</i> | <i>Lesbian Couple 33.0% (555)</i> | <i>Heterosexual Couple 23.0% (387)</i> |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Reporting births: | 686 responses | 517 responses | 357 responses |
| <i>Personally reported birth to sperm bank</i> | 69.7% (478) | 73.9% (382) | 60.5% (216) |
| <i>Doctor/clinic reported birth</i> | 10.6% (73) | 10.8% (56) | 12.9% (46) |
| <i>Think bank doesn't know</i> | 11.8% (81) | 9.1% (47) | 19.3% (69) |
| <i>No, I never let them know</i> | 7.9% (54) | 6.2% (32) | 7.3% (26) |
| Requested by bank to report birth: | 695 responses | 525 responses | 362 responses |
| <i>Reported on own initiative</i> | 19.7% (137) | 14.9% (78) | 16.6% (60) |
| <i>Requested formally by bank</i> | 23.7% (165) | 28.6% (150) | 20.7% (75) |
| <i>Requested informally by bank</i> | 30.1% (209) | 34.3% (180) | 24.9% (90) |
| <i>Was not asked to report birth</i> | 17.6% (122) | 15.8% (83) | 21.5% (78) |
| <i>Didn't know about recording births</i> | 8.9% (62) | 6.5% (34) | 16.3% (59) |